


Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 296357
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Very good	Overall good knowledge and understanding of the topic. It is clear that research has taken place and that there is theory applied to the context of the discussion which is also underpinned by intrinsic knowledge. It is good to see that thorough research has been engaged with for the topic and that this is not purely relying upon the intrinsic knowledge of the subject. Good to see an overview of all the relevant aspects of discussion in the PowerPoint presentation including ethics. Parts of the discussion were a little repetitive for example: within the midpoint of the PowerPoint presentation, some aspects were covered again that were discussed at the start. Try to avoid repetition in presentations for future.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very good	There is emerging criticality in the PowerPoint discussion and oral presentation. This is stronger in some places than others but enough is being done to tip into the very good category here. Moving forward for summative work for an overall consistency in this approach as some parts of the presentation were a little descriptive however limitations of research and discussion was part of the presentation discussion itself, which is good practice.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	Excellent	Excellent structure and presentation with clear thought process and planning going into the development of the PowerPoint and the logical stepwise manner in which the PowerPoint was discussed. Presentation was well organised logical and focused.
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation	Very good	Generally very good presentation skills. Again the presentation was stronger in some parts and others. I would advise moving forward that you complete your presentation in a completely quiet environment, as there was some background noise in evidence and I feel that this did actually interrupt some of the flow of your presentation at some points. Moving forward also ensure that there is a



style lively, fluent and engaging?		consistency in pace with regard to delivery some aspects towards the midpoint of the PowerPoint were a little slower as opposed to a more dynamic pace at the beginning and end of the presentation. The presentation was engaging and the information was presented clearly for the most part.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very good	Very good use of relevant resources. As discussed there was criticality emerging in the content. You cited regularly and fluently in the actual oral presentation itself and citation was also apparent through parts of the PowerPoint slide. As discussed it is clear that you researched the topics for this project and developed the discussion from that research in a logical and focused manner for the most part. The transcript does align with the PowerPoint presentation and oral presentation which is good practise.

Overall

Positives:

- Excellent structure and presentation with regards to the logical flow of the PowerPoint and the actual oral presentation
- Mostly very good with an excellent outcome
- Overall a very good outcome

Points for development:

- Gain more consistency in your oral presentation style so the all the oral presentation is evenly paced
- There is emerging criticality in your content but do work on that pros and cons discussion throughout any future summative
- Continue with your current approach to research.